PHYSICS 513, QUANTUM FIELD THEORY Homework 4 Due Tuesday, 30th September 2003 JACOB LEWIS BOURJAILY

1. We have defined the *coherent state* by the relation

$$|\{\eta_k\}\rangle \equiv \mathcal{N} \exp\left\{\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k a_k^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2E_k}}\right\} |0\rangle.$$

For my own personal convenience throughout this solution, I will let

$$\mathcal{A} \equiv \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k a_k^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{2E_k}}$$

a) Lemma: $[a_p, e^{\mathcal{A}}] = \frac{\eta_p}{\sqrt{2E_p}} e^{\mathcal{A}}.$

proof: First we note that from simple Taylor expansion (which is justified here),

$$e^{\mathcal{A}} = 1 + \mathcal{A} + \frac{\mathcal{A}^2}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{A}^3}{3!} + \dots$$

Clearly a_p commutes with 1 so we may write,

$$\begin{split} \left[a_p, e^{\mathcal{A}}\right] &= \left[a_p, \mathcal{A}\right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[a_p, \mathcal{A}^2\right] + \frac{1}{3!} \left[a_p, \mathcal{A}^3\right] + \dots, \\ &= \left[a_p, \mathcal{A}\right] + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left[a_p, \mathcal{A}\right] \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \left[a_p, \mathcal{A}\right]\right) + \frac{1}{3!} \left(\left[a_p, \mathcal{A}\right] \mathcal{A}^2 + \mathcal{A} \left[a_p, \mathcal{A}\right] \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \left[a_p, \mathcal{A}\right] \mathcal{A}\right) + \dots, \\ &\stackrel{*}{=} \left[a_p, \mathcal{A}\right] \left(1 + \mathcal{A} + \frac{\mathcal{A}^2}{2} + \frac{\mathcal{A}^3}{3!} + \frac{\mathcal{A}^4}{4!} + \dots\right), \\ &= \left[a_p, \mathcal{A}\right] e^{\mathcal{A}}. \end{split}$$

Note that the step labelled '*' is unjustified. To allow the use of '*' we must show that $[a_p, \mathcal{A}]$ is an invariant scalar and therefore commutes with all the \mathcal{A} 's. This is shown by direct calculation.

$$[a_p, \mathcal{A}] = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k}{\sqrt{2E_k}} [a_p, a_k^{\dagger}],$$

= $\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k}{\sqrt{2E_k}} (2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\vec{p} - \vec{k}),$
= $\frac{\eta_p}{\sqrt{2E_p}}.$

This proves what was required for '*.' $\frac{\eta_p}{\sqrt{2E_p}}$ is clearly a scalar because η and E_p are real numbers only. But by demonstrating the value of $[a_p, \mathcal{A}]$ we can complete the proof of the required lemma. Clearly,

$$[a_p, e^{\mathcal{A}}] = [a_p, \mathcal{A}]e^{\mathcal{A}} = \frac{\eta_p}{\sqrt{2E_p}}e^{\mathcal{A}}.$$

όπερ ἐδει δείξαι It is clear from the definition of the commutator that $a_p e^{\mathcal{A}} = [a_p, e^{\mathcal{A}}] + e^{\mathcal{A}}a_p$. Therefore it is intuitively obvious, and also proven that

$$a_{p}|\{\eta_{k}\}\rangle = \mathcal{N}a_{p}e^{\mathcal{A}}|0\rangle,$$

$$= \mathcal{N}\left(\left[a_{p}, e^{\mathcal{A}}\right] + e^{\mathcal{A}}a_{p}\right)|0\rangle,$$

$$= \mathcal{N}\frac{\eta_{p}}{\sqrt{2E_{p}}}|0\rangle + \mathcal{N}e^{\mathcal{A}}a_{p}|0\rangle,$$

$$\therefore a_{p}|\{\eta_{k}\}\rangle = \frac{\eta_{p}}{\sqrt{2E_{p}}}a_{p}|\{\eta_{k}\}\rangle.$$
 (1.1)

όπερ έδει δείξαι

1

b) We are to compute the normalization constant \mathcal{N} so that $\langle \{\eta_k\} | \{\eta_k\} \rangle = 1$. I will proceed by direct calculation.

$$\begin{split} 1 &= \langle \{\eta_k\} | \{\eta_k\} \rangle, \\ &= \mathcal{N}^* \langle 0 | e^{\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k a_k}{\sqrt{2E_k}}} | \{\eta_k\} \rangle, \\ &= \mathcal{N}^* \langle 0 | e^{\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k}{\sqrt{2E_k}}} | \{\eta_k\} \rangle \\ \text{because we know that } a_k | \{\eta_k\} \rangle &= \frac{\eta_k}{\sqrt{2E_k}} | \{\eta_k\} \rangle. \text{ So clearly} \\ &1 &= |\mathcal{N}|^2 e^{\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k^2}{2E_k}}, \\ &\therefore \mathcal{N} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k^2}{2E_k}}. \end{split}$$

c) We will find the expectation value of the field
$$\phi(x)$$
 by direct calculation as before.

$$\begin{split} \overline{\phi(x)} &= \langle \{\eta_k\} | \phi(x) | \{\eta_k\} \rangle = \langle \{\eta_k\} | \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}} \left(a_p e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + a_p^{\dagger} e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right) | \{\eta_k\} \rangle, \\ &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}} \left(\underbrace{\langle \{\eta_k\} | a_p e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} | \{\eta_k\} \rangle}_{\text{act with } a_p \text{ to the right}} + \underbrace{\langle \{\eta_k\} | a_p^{\dagger} e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} | \{\eta_k\} \rangle}_{\text{act with } a_p^{\dagger} \text{ to the left}} \right), \\ &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}} \left(\frac{\eta_p}{\sqrt{2E_p}} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} + \frac{\eta_p}{\sqrt{2E_p}} e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}} \right), \\ &= \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_p}{E_p} \cos(\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}). \end{split}$$

d) We will compute the expected particle number directly.

$$\begin{split} \overline{N} &= \langle \{\eta_k\} | N | \{\eta_k\} \rangle = \langle \{\eta_k\} | \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} a_p^{\dagger} a_p | \{\eta_k\} \rangle, \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\langle \{\eta_k\} | a_p^{\dagger} \ \underline{a_p} | \{\eta_k\} \rangle \right), \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_p^2}{2E_p}. \end{split}$$

e) To compute the mean square dispersion, let us recall the theorem of elementary probability theory that

$$\langle (\Delta N)^2 \rangle = \overline{N^2} - \overline{N}^2.$$

We have already calculated \overline{N} so it is trivial to note that

$$\overline{N}^2 = \int \frac{d^3k d^3p}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\eta_k^2 \eta_p^2}{4E_k E_p}.$$

Let us then calculate $\overline{N^2}$.

$$\begin{split} \overline{N^2} &= \langle \{\eta_k\} | N^2 | \{\eta_k\} \rangle = \langle \{\eta_k\} | \int \frac{d^3 k d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} a_k^{\dagger} a_k a_p^{\dagger} a_p | \{\eta_k\} \rangle, \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 k d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\eta_k \eta_p}{2\sqrt{E_k E_p}} \langle \{\eta_k\} | a_k a_p^{\dagger} | \{\eta_k\} \rangle, \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 k d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\eta_k \eta_p}{2\sqrt{E_k E_p}} \left((2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)} (\vec{k} - \vec{p}) + \langle \{\eta_k\} | a_p^{\dagger} a_k | \{\eta_k\} \rangle \right), \\ &= \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k^2}{2E_k} + \int \frac{d^3 k d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\eta_k^2 \eta_p^2}{4E_k E_p}. \end{split}$$

It is therefore quite easy to see that

$$\langle (\Delta N)^2 \rangle = \overline{N^2} - \overline{N}^2 = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\eta_k^2}{2E_k}.$$

2. We are given the Lorentz commutation relations,

$$[J^{\mu\nu}, J^{\rho\sigma}] = i(g^{\nu\rho}J^{\mu\sigma} - g^{\mu\rho}J^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\nu\sigma}J^{\mu\rho} + g^{\mu\sigma}J^{\nu\rho}).$$

a) Given the generators of rotations and boosts defined by,

$$L^i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} J^{jk} \qquad K^i = J^{0i}$$

we are to explicitly calculate all the commutation relations. We are given trivially that

$$[L^i, L^j] = i\epsilon^{ijk}L^k.$$

Let us begin with the K's. By direct calculation,

$$\begin{split} [K^i, K^j] &= [J^{0i}, J^{0j}] = i(g^{0i}J^{0j} - g^{00}J^{ij} - g^{ij}J^{00} + g^{0j}J^{i0}), \\ &= -iJ^{ij}; \\ &= -2i\epsilon^{ijk}L^k. \end{split}$$

Likewise, we can directly compute the commutator between the L and K's. This also will follow by direct calculation.

$$\begin{split} [L^{i}, K^{j}] &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{lk} [J^{ilk}, J^{0j}], \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ilk} i (g^{l0} J^{ij} - g^{i0} J^{lj} - g^{lj} J^{i0} + g^{ij} J^{l0}), \\ &= i \epsilon^{ijk} J^{0k}; \\ &= i \epsilon^{ijk} K^{k}. \end{split}$$

We were also to show that the operators

$$J^{i}_{+} = \frac{1}{2}(L^{i} + iK^{i}) \qquad J^{i}_{-} = \frac{1}{2}(L^{i} - iK^{i}),$$

could be seen to satisfy the commutation relations of angular momentum. First let us compute,

$$\begin{split} [J_+, J_-] &= \frac{1}{4} \left[(L^i + iK^i), (L^j - iK^i) \right], \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left([L^i, L^j] + i[K^i, L^j] - i[L^i, K^j] + [K^i, K^j] \right), \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

In the last line it was clear that I used the commutator $[L^i, K^j]$ derived above. The next two calculations are very similar and there is a lot of 'justification' algebra in each step. There is essentially no way for me to include all of the details of every step, but each can be verified (e.g. $i[K^i, L^j] = -i[L^j, K^i] = (-i)i\epsilon^{jik}K^k = -\epsilon^{ijk}K^k...etc$). They are as follows:

$$\begin{split} [J^{i}_{+}, J^{j}_{+}] &= \frac{1}{4} \left[(L^{i} + iK^{i}), (L^{j} + iK^{j}) \right], \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left([L^{i}, L^{j}] + i[K^{i}, L^{j}] + i[L^{i}, K^{j}] + i[L^{i}, K^{i}] - [K^{i}, K^{j}] \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(i\epsilon^{ijk}L^{k} - \epsilon^{ijk}K^{k} - \epsilon^{ijk}K^{k} + i\epsilon^{ijk}L^{k} \right), \\ &= i\epsilon^{ijk}\frac{1}{2} (L^{k} + iK^{k}) = i\epsilon^{ijk}J^{k}_{+}. \end{split}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{split} [J_{-}^{i}, J_{-}^{j}] &= \frac{1}{4} \left[(L^{i} - iK^{i}), (L^{j} - iK^{j}) \right], \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left([L^{i}, L^{j}] - i[K^{i}, L^{j}] - i[L^{i}, K^{j}] + i[L^{i}, K^{i}] - [K^{i}, K^{j}] \right), \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left(i\epsilon^{ijk}L^{k} + \epsilon^{ijk}K^{k} + \epsilon^{ijk}K^{k} + i\epsilon^{ijk}L^{k} \right), \\ &= i\epsilon^{ijk}\frac{1}{2} (L^{k} - iK^{k}) = i\epsilon^{ijk}J_{-}^{k}. \end{split}$$

όπερ έδει δείξαι

JACOB LEWIS BOURJAILY

b) Let us consider first the $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ representation. For this representation we will need to satisfy

$$J^{i}_{+} = \frac{1}{2}(L^{i} + iK^{i}) = 0 \qquad J^{i}_{-} = \frac{1}{2}(L^{i} - iK^{k}) = \frac{\sigma^{i}}{2}$$

This is obtained by taking $L^i = \frac{\sigma^i}{2}$ and $K^i = \frac{i\sigma^i}{2}$. The transformation law then of the $(0, \frac{1}{2})$ representation is

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{(0,\frac{1}{2})} &\longrightarrow e^{-i\omega_{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu}}\Phi_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}, \\ &= e^{-i(\theta^i L^i + \beta^j K^j)}\Phi_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}, \\ &= e^{-\frac{i\theta^i\sigma^i}{2} + \frac{\beta^j K^j}{2}}\Phi_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}. \end{split}$$

The calculation for the $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$ representation is very similar. Taking $L^i = \frac{\sigma^i}{2}$ and $K^i = -\frac{\sigma^i}{2}$, we get

$$J^{i}_{+} = \frac{1}{2}(L^{i} + iK^{i}) = \frac{\sigma^{i}}{2} \qquad J^{i}_{-} = \frac{1}{2}(L^{i} - iK^{k}) = 0.$$

Then the transformation law of the representation is

4

$$\begin{split} \Phi_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} &\longrightarrow e^{-i\omega_{\mu\nu}J^{\mu\nu}} \Phi_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}, \\ &= e^{-i(\theta^i L^i + \beta^j K^j)} \Phi_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}, \\ &= e^{-\frac{i\theta^i \sigma^i}{2} - \frac{\beta^j K^j}{2}} \Phi_{(\frac{1}{2},0)}. \end{split}$$

Comparing these transformation laws with Peskin and Schroeder's (3.37), we see that

$$\psi_L = \Phi_{(\frac{1}{2},0)} \qquad \psi_R = \Phi_{(0,\frac{1}{2})}.$$

3. a) We are given that T_a is a representation of some Lie group. This means that

$$[T_a, T_b] = i f^{abc} T_c$$

by definition. Allow me to take the complex conjugate of both sides. Note that $[T_a, T_b] =$ $[(-T_a), (-T_b)]$ in general and recall that f^{abc} are real.

$$\begin{split} [T_a,T_b]^* &= (if^{abc}T_c)^*, \\ [T_a^*,T_b^*] &= -if^{abc}T_c^*, \\ . \left[(-T_a^*),(-T_b^*)\right] &= if^{abc}(-T_c^*). \end{split}$$

So by the definition of a representation, it is clear that $(-T_a^*)$ is also a representation of the algebra.

b) As before, we are given that T_a is a representation of some Lie group. We will take the Hermitian adjoint of both sides.

$$\begin{split} [T_a,T_b]^{\dagger} &= (if^{abc}T_c)^{\dagger}, \\ (T_aT_b)^{\dagger} &- (T_bT_a)^{\dagger} = -if^{abc}T_c^{\dagger}, \\ T_b^{\dagger}T_a^{\dagger} &- T_a^{\dagger}T_b^{\dagger} = -if^{abc}T_c^{\dagger}, \\ [T_b^{\dagger},T_a^{\dagger}] &= -if^{abc}T_c^{\dagger}, \\ & \therefore [T_a^{\dagger},T_b^{\dagger}] = if^{abc}T_c^{\dagger}. \end{split}$$

So by the definition of a representation, it is clear that T_a^{\dagger} is a representation of the algebra. c) We define the spinor representation of SU(2) by $T_a = \frac{\sigma^a}{2}$ so that

$$T_{1} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad T_{2} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad T_{3} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We will consider the matrix $S = i\sigma^2$. Clearly S is unitary because $(i\sigma^2)(i\sigma^2)^{\dagger} = 1$. Now, one could proceed by direct calculation to demonstrate that

$$ST_1S^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -T_1^* \qquad ST_2S^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -T_2^* \qquad ST_3S^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = -T_3^*.$$

This clearly demonstrates that the representation $-T^*$ is equivalent to that of T .

This clearly demonstrates that the representation $-T_a^*$ is equivalent to that of T_a .

d) From our definitions of our representation of SO(3,1) using J^i_+ and J^i_- , it is clear that

$$(J^i_+)^\dagger = J^i_-.$$

This could be expressed as if $(\frac{1}{2}, 0)^{\dagger} = (0, \frac{1}{2})$, or, rather $L^{\dagger} = R$. So what we must ask ourselves is, does there exist a unitary matrix S such that

 $SLS^{\dagger} = L$ but $SKS^{\dagger} = -K$?

If there did exist such a unitary transformation, then we could conclude that L and R are equivalent representations. However, this is not possible in our SO(3,1) representation because both L and K are represented strictly by the Pauli spin matrices so that $iK = L = \frac{\sigma}{2}$. It is therefore clear that there cannot exist a transformation that will change the sign of K yet leave L alone. So the representations are inequivalent.

όπερ έδει δείξαι